







 $\textbf{Note:} \ Higher scores, and ranks closer to 1, indicate worse/poor performance. Green or red arrows show change in 2023 compared to 2021.$ 



2.50

▼ 0.31

#### **Coastal score by vulnerability**

3.00 - 0

Related Indicators	2021-2023 2023 2021 change 2019
Size of EEZ	<b>3.0</b> 3.0 - <b>0</b> 3.0
Agreement over all maritime boundaries	<b>1.0</b> 1.0 -0 1.0
Dependency on fish for protein	<b>1.0</b> 1.0 -0 1.0
Authorise foreign vessels to operate in EEZ	<b>5.0</b> 5.0 - <b>0</b> 5.0

#### Coastal score by prevalence

2.60 ▼ 1.80

Has MSC-certified fisheries	5.0	5.0	_ 0	5.0
Views of MCS practitioners on coastal compliance incidents	1.0	4.0	▼ 3.0	1.0

#### **Coastal score by response**

**1.80** ▲ **0.80** 

Coastal State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	<b>3.0</b> 1.0	▲ 2.0	1.0
Operate a national VMS/FMC centre	1.0	<b>- 0</b>	1.0







1.0

# Flag score 1.83 ▲ 0.08

Flag score by vulnerability 1.00 - 0

Related Indicators	2023	2021	2021-2023 change	2019
Distant water vessels on RFMO RAVs	1.0	1.0	<b>- 0</b>	1.0
Distant water vessels under several RFMOs	1.0	1.0	<b>- 0</b>	not applicable

## Flag score by prevalence $\begin{bmatrix} 1.00 \\ \end{bmatrix}$ – 0

Vessels on IUU lists	<b>1.0</b> 1.0 - <b>0</b>	2.0
View of fisheries observers on flag state compliance incidents	<b>1.0</b> 1.0 - <b>0</b>	3.0
Views of MCS practitioners on flag state compliance incidents	<b>1.0</b> 1.0 - <b>0</b>	1.0

## Flag score by response 3.22 ▲ 0.22

Accepted FAO Compliance Agreement	<b>1.0</b> 1.0 - <b>0</b>	1.0
Registered vessels with foreign or unknown ownership	<b>5.0</b> 5.0 - <b>0</b>	5.0
Provision of vessel data for inclusion in Global Record	<b>5.0</b> 5.0 - <b>0</b>	5.0
Compliance with RFMO flag state obligations	<b>3.0</b> 3.0 - <b>0</b>	3.0
Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	<b>2.0</b> 1.0 <b>4 1.0</b>	1.0

Indicator "Authorised vessel data provided to FAO HSVAR" used in 2019 has changed to "Registered vessels with foreign or unknown ownership" since 2021.

## Port score 2.78 ▲ 0.34

## Port score by vulnerability 4.00 - 0

Port visits by foreign fishing or carrier vessels	5.0	5.0	- 0	5.0
Number of fishing ports	3.0	3.0	<b>–</b> 0	3.0
Related Indicators	2023	2021	2021-2023 change	2019

### Port score by prevalence 2.00 ▼ 1.00

View of fisheries observers on port compliance incidents

Views of MCS practitioners on port compliance incidents	<b>1.0</b> 3.0 ▼ <b>2.0</b>	1.0



relevant RFMOs





Port score by response 3.50 ▲ 1.50			2010 2021	
Related Indicators	2023	2021	2019-2021 change	2019
Party to the PSMA	5.0	5.0	<b>- 0</b>	5.0
Designated ports specified for entry by foreign vessels	5.0	1.0	▲ 4.0	5.0
Compliance with RFMO port state obligations	1.0	1.0	<b>- 0</b>	3.0
General score 2.30 ▲ 0.17				
General score by vulnerability 3.33 ▲ 0.11			2010 2001	
Related Indicators	2023	2021	2019-2021 change	2019
Trade balance for fisheries products	5.0	4.0	▲ 1.0	4.0
Share of global imports	1.0	1.0	<b>- 0</b>	1.0
Perception of levels of corruption	4.0	4.0	<b>– 0</b>	4.0
Gross national income per capita	5.0	5.0	<b>– 0</b>	5.0
Volume of catches	1.0	1.0	<b>- 0</b>	1.0
General score by prevalence $1.00 - 0$				
Carded' under the EU IUU Regulation	1.0	1.0	<b>– 0</b>	1.0
Identified' by NOAA for IUU fishing	1.0	1.0	<b>– 0</b>	1.0
Mentions of IUU fishing in media reports	1.0	1.0	<b>– 0</b>	2.0
General score by response 2.29 <b>A 0.29</b>				
Mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	1.0	1.0	<b>–</b> 0	1.0
Demand for MSC products	5.0	5.0	<b>– 0</b>	5.0
Ratification/accession of UNCLOS Convention	1.0	1.0	<b>– 0</b>	5.0
Ratification/accession of UNFSA	5.0	5.0	<b>– 0</b>	5.0
Mentions in media reports to combatting IUU fishing	4.0	2.0	▲ 2.0	3.0
Have a NPOA-IUU	1.0	1.0	<b>–</b> 0	5.0
Market State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to relevant RFMOs	1.0	1.0	<b>– 0</b>	1.0