


Country profile

# Timor-Leste

**World ranking**  
21 of 152 countries

**Asia ranking**  
10 of 15 countries

**East Indian Ocean ranking**  
4 of 9 countries



## Coastal Score **3.50**

### Coastal by Vulnerability **3.33**

Related indicators

Size of EEZ	<b>2.0</b>
Agreement over all maritime boundaries	<b>5.0</b>
Dependency on fish for protein	<b>1.0</b>
Authorise foreign vessels to operate in EEZ	<b>5.0</b>

### Coastal by Prevalence **2.60**

Related indicators

Has MSC-certified fisheries	<b>5.0</b>
Views of MCS practitioners on coastal compliance incidents	<b>1.0</b>

### Coastal by Response **4.60**

Related indicators

Coastal State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	<b>4.0</b>
Operate a national VMS/FMC centre	<b>5.0</b>

## Flag Score 1.50

### Flag by Vulnerability 1.00

#### Related indicators

Distant water vessels on RFMO RAVs	<span style="border: 1px solid green; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">1.0</span>
Distant water vessels under several RFMOs	not applicable

### Flag by Prevalence 1.00

#### Related indicators

Vessels on IUU lists	<span style="border: 1px solid green; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">1.0</span>
View of fisheries observers on flag state compliance incidents	<span style="border: 1px solid green; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">1.0</span>
Views of MCS practitioners on flag state compliance incidents	<span style="border: 1px solid green; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">1.0</span>

### Flag by Response 3.00

#### Related indicators

Accepted FAO Compliance Agreement	not applicable
Authorised vessel data provided to FAO HSVAR	not applicable
Provision of vessel data for inclusion in Global Record	<span style="border: 1px solid green; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">5.0</span>
Compliance with RFMO flag state obligations	not applicable
Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	<span style="border: 1px solid green; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">1.0</span>

## Port Score 3.13

### Port by Vulnerability 4.00

#### Related indicators

Number of fishing ports	<span style="border: 1px solid blue; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">3.0</span>
Port visits by foreign fishing or carrier vessels	<span style="border: 1px solid blue; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">5.0</span>

### Port by Prevalence 1.00

#### Related indicators

Views of MCS practitioners on port compliance incidents	<span style="border: 1px solid blue; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">1.0</span>
View of fisheries observers on port compliance incidents	<span style="border: 1px solid blue; border-radius: 15px; padding: 2px 10px;">1.0</span>

## Port by Response 5.00

### Related indicators

Party to the PSMA	5.0
Designated ports specified for entry by foreign vessels	5.0
Compliance with RFMO port state obligations	not applicable

## General Score 2.47

### General by Vulnerability 3.33

#### Related indicators

Trade balance for fisheries products	5.0
Share of global imports	1.0
Perception of levels of corruption	4.0
Gross national income per capita	5.0
Volume of catches	1.0

### General by Prevalence 1.43

#### Related indicators

Carded' under the EU IUU Regulation	1.0
Identified' by NOAA for IUU fishing	1.0
Mentions of IUU fishing in media reports	2.0

### General by Response 2.43

#### Related indicators

Mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	1.0
Demand for MSC products	5.0
Ratification/accession of UNCLOS Convention	1.0
Ratification/accession of UNFSA	5.0
Mentions in media reports to combatting IUU fishing	5.0
Have a NPOA-IUU	1.0
Market State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to relevant RFMOs	1.0